

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,496	12/01/2004	Alan Dickinson	056258-5085	4937
9629 MOD CAN LE	7590 12/13/2007 WIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE NW		056258-5085 4937 EXAMINER SHAH, MANISH S ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2853	ANISH S
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	· -
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			9
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/516,496	DICKINSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Manish S. Shah	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion is precised by the office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	September 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Ti	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-14 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in a	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔛 Other:	 ·	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Note: The indicated allowability of claims 1-3 & 10-12 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 & 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-3 & 6-14 the phrase "optionally" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "optionally"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10/516,496 Art Unit: 2853

3. Claims 1-3, 6-9 & 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vrzalova Dana et al. (# US 3527749).

Vrzalova Dana et al. discloses a disazo compound of formula with sulpho and carboxy group as shown below (see Abstract; column: 2, line: 25-65).

Vrzalova Dana et al. explicitly didn't discloses that compound not contain any group of formula SO2-CH2-CH2-O-SO3H or -SO2-CH=CH2; and compound of formula is not

Application/Control Number:

10/516,496 Art Unit: 2853

Vrzalova Dana et al. teaches diazo compound in column: 2, line: 25-65, however, they didn't disclose that compound contains any group of formula SO2-CH2-CH2-O-SO3H or -SO2-CH=CH2; and compound of formula is not

Therefore, it would have been obvious that disazo compound taught by Vrzalova Dana et al. don't have the compound claimed by the applicant.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone

10/516,496

Art Unit: 2853

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSS

12/10/07